

AD0778093

AFML-TR-73-288

FRACTURE TOUGHNESS AND FATIGUE
PROPERTIES OF TITANIUM LAMINATE
COMPOSITES PRODUCED BY CONTROLLED
DIFFUSION BONDING

D. O. COX
A. S. TETELMAN

FAILURE ANALYSIS ASSOCIATES

TECHNICAL REPORT AFML-TR-73-288

OCTOBER 1973

20080818 023

This document has been approved for public release
and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

AIR FORCE MATERIALS LABORATORY
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO

NOTICE

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

FRACTURE TOUGHNESS AND FATIGUE PROPERTIES OF TITANIUM LAMINATE
COMPOSITES PRODUCED BY CONTROLLED DIFFUSION BONDING

D. O. Cox
A. S. Tetelman

FAILURE ANALYSIS ASSOCIATES

TECHNICAL REPORT AFML-TR-73-288

October 1973

This document has been approved for public release
and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

AIR FORCE MATERIALS LABORATORY
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO

AD778093

FOREWORD

This report was prepared by Failure Analysis Associates, Stanford, California. The work was performed under USAF Contract No. F33615-72-C-2096. The contract was initiated under Project No. 738106, "Engineering and Design Data", and directed by Mr. C. L. Harmsworth, Systems Support Division, Air Force Materials Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.

The authors wish to acknowledge Dr. Howard Hamilton of the Los Angeles Division of Rockwell International Corp., who supervised fabrication of the billets for his excellent assistance, UCLA for providing the facilities for testing the material, and Dr. D. Broek of NLR for his stimulating conversation.

This report covers work conducted from June 1972 to August 1973.

The report was submitted by the authors in October 1973.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved.



A. OLEVITCH
Chief, Materials Engineering Branch
Materials Support Division
Air Force Materials Laboratory

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
SECTION I INTRODUCTION	1
SECTION II PURPOSE OF PRESENT STUDY	3
SECTION III MATERIALS AND FABRICATION	4
SECTION IV TESTING PROCEDURE	6
SECTION V RESULTS	9
SECTION VI DISCUSSION	12
SECTION VII CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	15
REFERENCES	17

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
I.	Physical Properties of 0.100 inch Ti-6Al-4V and 0.001 inch CP Titanium Foil Used in the Study	20
II.	Chemical Analysis of 0.100 inch Ti-6Al-4V and 0.001 inch CP Titanium Foil Used in the Study	20
III.	Diffusion Bonding Parameters of the Billets	20
IV.	Fracture Toughness Results from Precracked Charpy and Larger Three Point Bend Specimens	21
V.	Fatigue Life of Precracked Large Three Point Bend Specimens	21
VI.	Crack Growth Rate vs. ΔK for Material with the Divider Orientation	22

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. Possible Orientation of the Interface in Relation to the Propagating Crack	24
2. K_{IC} vs. Thickness for 6Al-4V Titanium Material	25
3. Typical Microstructure of the Billets Tested in This Work	26
4. Charpy Specimen Used for Fracture Testing	27
5. Larger Three-Point Bend Specimen Used in the Fracture Studies	27
6. Fracture Appearance in Arrestor Orientation and Divider Orientation of Billet A	28
7. Fracture Appearance in Arrestor Orientation and Divider Orientation of Billet B	29
8. Crack Arrest During Fatigue in the Arrestor Orientation of Billet A	30
9. Crack Growth Rate vs. ΔK for Divider Orientation of the Three Billets	31
10. Crack Growth Rate vs. ΔK for Various Specimens of Billet A (Divider Orientation) ₂	32
11. Fatigue Behavior in Divider Orientation of Billets A, B, and #1.	33
12. Fatigue Specimen from Billet A Showing Evidence of Crack Channeling	34
13. Influence of Panel Thickness on K_{IC} for Ti-6Al-4V Specimens	35
14. Thumbnail Crack Specimen Tested at AFML Showing Crack Arrest	35
15. Schematic Showing the Transition of a Fatigue Crack in Sheet Material	36
16. The Influence of Sheet Thickness on Fatigue Crack Propagation in 2024-T3 Alclad Material	36

ABSTRACT

An investigation of the use of laminate composites containing a weak interface to increase the fracture toughness of high strength titanium alloy has been conducted. Two billets were fabricated from 0.010" Ti-6Al-4V material using a diffusion bonding process. Thin metal foils were used as interleaf materials. Results indicate that the use of a 0.0015" Al foil as an interleaf leads to delamination ahead of the crack tip in the arrester orientation, and effectively blunts the crack.

In the divider orientation, splitting of the Al interleaf and plane stress fracture did not lead to the increase in toughness expected over thicker material. However, tests indicate that the base metal used in fabrication has a low K_{IC} value, which may account for this effect.

It was found that a 0.001" commercially pure titanium foil used as an interleaf will not lead to delamination and splitting. Diffusion during the bonding process causes formation of a uniform material with no weak interface. Therefore, no delamination or splitting could occur in the composite material.

It was also found that a propagating fatigue crack can be arrested at the interleaf in the arrester orientation. It was also determined that the crack growth rates of material which splits in the divider orientation are similar to rates obtained from full strength material.

Introduction

In an effort to obtain a high yield strength and high toughness material, a laminate structure composed of high strength titanium sheet with a weaker interface material has been studied previously.⁽¹⁾ In the past investigation, billets which incorporated 0.040 in. 6Al-4V titanium with various thin ($< .010$ in.) interleaf materials were fabricated by diffusion bonding at Rockwell International, Los Angeles Division. Toughness tests were made using precracked Charpy specimens machined from the fabricated billets.

It was found that delamination ahead of the crack tip in the arrester orientation (Fig. 1) led to crack blunting, and gross yielding of the material occurred before failure by mechanical instability. In the divider orientation (Fig. 1), where splitting of the interface causes the laminate material to behave as the sum of many thin sheets loaded in plane stress, no significant increase in toughness was found. This is not the expected result for material of this orientation, since the plane stress toughness can be a factor of two or three times greater than the plane strain value.⁽²⁾ It was concluded that this result occurred because the sheet thickness chosen for the base metal (0.040 in.) was below the thickness at which maximum toughness is observed (see Fig. 2).

Our previous work also showed that a thin interleaf material with a relatively low yield strength leads to delamination and splitting. Material fabricated with a commercially pure aluminum interleaf 0.0015" thick delaminated and split while a 0.005" commercially pure titanium interleaf did not. It was concluded that a thinner CP titanium foil might lead to

delamination and splitting and high toughness, at least in the arrester orientation. A titanium interleaf for titanium base metal is attractive, since it would eliminate the possibility of corrosion, which might occur with an aluminum interleaf.

Purpose of Present Study

This program was conducted to further determine the potential for using the diffusion bonded laminates to improve toughness and fail-safe characteristics of high strength titanium alloys. The previous study indicates that delamination and splitting of the interface in diffusion bonded laminates is possible and this leads to high toughness in the arrester geometry. The present work was designed to investigate three phenomena:

- 1) whether it would be possible to obtain an increase in toughness in the divider orientation, by choosing the thickness of the base metal material at which its fracture toughness is a maximum (Fig. 2);
- 2) whether a very thin commercially pure titanium foil (thinner than tried previously) can be used to obtain delamination and splitting in the arrester and divider orientations;
- 3) whether the fatigue crack propagation rates in these laminate materials compared favorably with rates measured on wrought material.

Materials and Fabrication

The material selected for the study was 6Al-4V titanium mill annealed sheet (AMS 4911) 0.100" in thickness. This thickness was chosen since it is near the optimum thickness which leads to maximum toughness as shown in Fig. 2. Material from two different heats were used. During fabrication of the billets the sheets from the two heats were alternated. The physical properties and chemical analysis for the two heats are shown in Tables I and II, respectively.

The two billets fabricated incorporated metal foils as an interleaf material. The first billet fabricated (hereafter referred to as Billet A) had a commercially pure aluminum foil 0.0015" in thickness (1100 alloy). This was a typical heavy duty household foil, and no mechanical testing or chemical analyses was made on the materials. The second billet (hereafter referred to as Billet B) had a 65A grade commercially pure titanium foil 0.001 " thick, which was obtained in the annealed condition (AMS 4900B). The physical properties and chemical analysis of this foil are also shown in Tables I and II.

In addition to the above billets, material from the fully bonded (i.e., no interleaf incorporated) billet fabricated for the previous work was also used in this study. This billet [Billet #1 in Ref. (1) and designated as such hereafter in this report] was fabricated from 0.040" 6Al-4V titanium sheet (ASM 4911). For physical properties and chemical analysis of the four heats used in fabrication of this billet [see Ref. (1)].

All three billets were fabricated with a full strength bond between the laminae. Billet #1 was seven inches wide by seven inches long by 2.4' inches thick. Billets A and B were 15 inches square by 0.6 inches thick. The

actual bonding was done under sub-contract to the Los Angeles Division of Rockwell International, under the supervision of Dr. Howard Hamilton.

The titanium sheet, retort, and tooling were prepared using established cleaning procedures.⁽¹⁾ All diffusion bonding was conducted under vacuum of less than 10^{-4} torr. A heavy retaining ring, or yoke, was used to assure side restraint and to prevent excess lateral deformation. Time, temperature, and pressure depended on interleaf material used. The parameters used for each of the billets are shown in Table III. Typical microstructure of the as-fabricated billets are shown in Fig. 3.

Testing Procedures

Because of the very limited nature of the program, it was desirable to use relatively small, inexpensive specimens for the determination of fracture toughness and fatigue properties of the laminate materials. Therefore, precracked Charpy specimens (Fig. 4) were initially used in the study. Subsequently, it was believed that in the divider orientation the depth of the Charpy specimen might be insufficient to develop full shear lips, and larger three-point bend specimens, (shown in Fig. 5) were also machined. Although the specimens do not meet ASTM specimen criteria, and the results are not "valid" K_{IC} values, the values we obtained are useful for comparing the relative toughness characteristics of the materials evaluated.

All tests were conducted on material in the as-fabricated condition. Since all the alloys used in fabrication of the billets were in the mill annealed state at the time of fabrication, the laminated material as tested would also be in a mill annealed condition.

Both the precracked Charpy and the larger three-point bend specimens were used in the fracture toughness study. Precracking of the Charpy specimens was done using a Krouse fatigue machine while the larger specimens were precracked using an MTS closed loop system. In both cases, approximately 50,000 cycles were used to obtain a fatigue crack of about 0.040 to 0.060" in depth. The fracture testing of the Charpy specimens was done on an Instron tensile testing machine. The tests were run at room temperature with a cross-head speed of 0.1 in/min. The larger specimens were tested on the MTS machine using a ram speed of 0.15in/min.

The fracture toughness values were obtained in the following manner. For the three-point bend specimen, it has been shown that the crack tip

stress intensity factor, K, is given by

$$K = Y \frac{6M}{BW^2} \sqrt{a} \quad (1)$$

The applied moment, M, is given by

$$M = \frac{PL}{4} \quad (2)$$

where P is the applied load and L is the loading span. Thus

$$K = Y \frac{6PL}{4BW^2} \sqrt{a} \quad (3)$$

where W is the depth, B is the specimen thickness, a is the total crack depth, and Y is the K calibration. A curve showing the K calibration for three-point bend specimens as a function of a/W has been calculated by Gross and Srawley.⁽⁴⁾ Using the curve, the specimen dimensions, and the maximum applied load as determined from the load deflection curve, the fracture toughness was obtained.

All fatigue tests were done using the MTS closed loop system. Testing was done in air at room temperature using a cyclic rate of 30 cycles/sec. The stress ratio for all tests was $R \approx 0$, although a small minimum load was necessary to keep the specimen and jig fixed in the machine. Two methods of analysis were used. A few specimens were cycled at a given initial maximum load and the total number of cycles to failure was determined. The remaining specimens were used to determine crack propagation rates as a function of stress intensity (da/dN vs. ΔK). A specimen was cycled a given number of times and the load was monitored using an oscilloscope. The specimen was then removed from the testing machine and the length of the crack was measured on both sides of the specimen. The crack length was

taken as the average of the two measured values. Knowing the number of cycles to which the specimen was subjected, and from measurements of crack length before and after a given period of cyclic loading, the crack growth per cycle could be determined. The ΔK was found from the average crack length (original crack length plus half the change in crack length), and the average maximum and minimum loads over the cyclic increment. The specimen was then placed back into the MTS machine and the process repeated. Crack growth of approximately 0.05 to 0.10 inches was obtained between measurements. In this manner one specimen could be used to obtain more than one data point. Using this method a comparison between da/dN rates for the three types of materials was made.

In addition material from Billets A and B has been sent to AFML. Thumbnail crack specimens machined from the supplied material will be tested by AFML to determine fatigue properties in the arrester orientation.

Results

A. Fracture Toughness Tests

Individual sheets of the 0.10 inch 6Al-4V titanium material used in billet fabrication were tested to determine the value of K_{IC} . Charpy size specimens were notched, precracked and tested in three-point bending. Results of three tests indicate the K_{IC} of the material used in fabricating the billets was $60 \text{ Ksi}\sqrt{\text{in.}}$ which is considerably below the value ($\sim 140 \text{ Ksi}\sqrt{\text{in.}}$) reported in Ref. (2) [Fig. 2]. No K_{IC} values were obtained from the larger specimens. The low value obtained from the small specimens may indicate that, in this material, K_{IC} is a function of ligament depth ($W-a$) as well as sheet thickness, and that K_{IC} increases with ($W-a$). K_Q tests on Billet #1 done previously (1) are shown in Table IV as well as the results of the larger three-point bend specimens.

Billet A containing the 0.0015 inch aluminum interleaf showed excellent delamination and splitting properties. Toughness values for this material are shown in Table IV. In the arrester orientation, delamination occurred ahead of the crack tip, which led to crack blunting and crack arrest. No toughness value could be measured. Fig. 6 shows a typical specimen with the arrester orientation after testing.

In the divider orientation splitting occurred (Fig. 7) but the toughness value measured ($62 \text{ Ksi}\sqrt{\text{in.}}$) is less than the plane strain value. ($76 \text{ Ksi}\sqrt{\text{in.}}$). It was believed that a possible reason for this effect was the limited legament depth of the Charpy specimen, which did not allow development of full shear lip fracture before instability. Consequently, the larger specimens were machined and tested. As shown in Table IV, the toughness of the larger specimens were 20% higher than that of the smaller specimens.

However, no large (100%) increase in toughness was achieved, as expected from Fig. 2. This would indicate that still wider specimens might be required to determine the full potential of delamination as a means of increasing toughness in the divider orientation.

Billet B containing 0.001 inch CP titanium interleaf did not delaminate in the arrester orientation, nor did splitting occur in the divider orientation. This was noted for both the Charpy samples and the larger three-point bend specimens. Toughness values for this billet are shown in Table IV and the fracture appearance is shown in Fig. 7.

B. Fatigue Tests

Our results indicate that a propagating fatigue crack can be effectively stopped in the arrester geometry if delamination occurs at the crack tip. This effect can be observed in Fig. 8, where a specimen of Billet A, tested in the arrester orientation, has been cycled in fatigue at a K-level of $11.4 \text{ Ksi}\sqrt{\text{in.}}$. However, specimens of the same orientation from Billet B did not arrest the propagating fatigue crack. This is consistent with our observation that crack arrest does not occur in the fracture toughness tests. Further information on the crack arrest capabilities of Billets A and B will be obtained when testing of thumbnail crack specimens is completed at AFML.

A majority of the work done on fatigue properties in these materials was conducted in the divider orientation. Total cycles to failure were determined on one large three-point bend specimen from each of the three billets. The specimens were precracked and then cycled at constant peak load of 1400 lbs. with the stress ratio approximately equal to until total failure occurred. Table V shows the results, which indicate that

the fatigue properties are not significantly affected when splitting takes place. The total cycles to failure for Billet A are essentially equivalent to those measured in the other two billets in which splitting did not occur.

The remaining specimens were used to determine crack growth rates in the divider orientation as a function of ΔK . The results of these tests are shown in Table VI and are plotted in Fig. 9. They also indicate that there is little difference in propagation-rates between the material which split and the material which does not split, but there is considerably more scatter when splitting occurs.

There is a large amount of scatter in the experimental results of Billet A when splitting occurred. Fig. 10 shows the data from Billet A by specimen. Specimens from this billet showed a large difference in crack length when measured on one face, as compared to the opposite face, during testing. A crack would initiate and be visible on one side of the specimen, although no evidence of cracking would appear on the opposite side. The initial crack would propagate for a significant distance (more than 0.10 inches in some cases) before a crack appeared on the other side of the specimen.

The fatigue fracture appearance of specimens from the three billets are shown in Fig. 10. There is a small amount of crack channeling visible in the specimens of Billet A (see also Fig. 11). However, in no case did the fatigue crack propagate over an entire single layer before cracking initiated in an adjoining laminate.

DISCUSSION

Delamination and splitting took place in specimens from Billet A while these effects did not occur in Billet B. In previous tests, the 0.005" CP foil was visible after diffusion bonding. Examination of the microstructure of Billet B (Fig. 3c) indicates that the 0.001 CP titanium foil used as the interleaf material here was not of sufficient thickness. There is little evidence that a separate foil had been bonded to the base metal. During fabrication interdiffusion of the titanium foil and base alloy caused the formation of a continuous material and the removal of the weak interface. Without a low strength interface, delamination and splitting cannot take place. If a slightly thicker foil had been used, it is possible that the soft interface would have been retained and better fracture characteristics would be realized.

When splitting did occur in the divider orientation, the expected increase in toughness has not been realized, either in Billet A here, or in the work done previously. The data shown in Fig. 2 indicates that there should be a large increase in toughness when the fracture mode is plane stress (shear) rather than plane strain (flat). However, recent work done at Naval Research Laboratory concerning the effect of sheet thickness on K_{IC} of high strength alloys indicates that a large difference in toughness between thin sheet and bulk material^(5,6) is not always realized.

Fig. 12 shows data obtained at NRL on 6Al-4V titanium material with a yield strength of 150 Ksi. The data indicate that there is not a large increase in toughness in sheet material, at least over thicknesses up to 0.125 inches, compared with typical plane strain K_{IC} values (80 Ksi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$).

The NRL material is of a higher strength level than the annealed material used in the present study. However, the results of tests on the 0.10" material used in fabrication of Billets A and B indicate that the same effect may exist for annealed material as well. The value of $60 \text{ Ksi}\sqrt{\text{in.}}$ obtained from our testing is much less than would be expected from the data of Fig. 2, but is consistent with the NRL results.

The low toughness values obtained for the divider orientation of Billet A ($62 \text{ Ksi}\sqrt{\text{in.}}$) are reasonable if the toughness of the sheet material used in fabrication is this low ($60 \text{ Ksi}\sqrt{\text{in.}}$). As shown in Fig. 7, complete shear lips develop early in the fracture (even in the smaller Charpy specimen), and there is only a small region of flat, plane strain fracture adjacent to the precrack. The low toughness of the 6Al-4V titanium sheet material used in fabrication leads to the low toughness of the laminate composite, even though splitting results in plane stress failure.

Our results have shown that the crack stopping capabilities of the arrester orientation also apply to fatigue crack propagation. The crack travels to the interface and is effectively blunted. Initial results of tests on thumbnail crack specimens tested at AFML indicate that further crack growth will occur along the interleaf rather than through the base metal. An example of this effect is shown in Fig. 13. This effect will lead to large improvements in fatigue life and to easier detection of propagating cracks prior to instability.

In the divider orientation, a material which splits during fast fracture appears to have the same fatigue properties as the other materials which do not split. However, it is conceivable that in large test specimens

or in actual structures an improvement may be found because the mode of propagation of the fatigue crack can change from tension to shear.

A fatigue crack starts to propagate as a plane strain, mode I, crack. As the crack grows in length, the size of the plastic zone ahead of the crack increases. When the plastic zone size approaches the thickness of the sheet material, plane stress can develop and a transition to shear mode fatigue crack propagation can occur. This effect is shown schematically in Fig.

The fracture surface of all divider specimens tested in fatigue is flat and exhibits no shear type propagation. The lack of any transition in the present work may be explained by the small size of the specimens (small crack lengths) and relatively small stress intensities used in the testing ($\frac{K_{\max}}{B} < 1.8$).

From the above discussion it appears that as the thickness of the base metal sheet used in the laminate decreases, the transition to plane stress fracture will occur sooner, and the crack propagation rates will be lower. This effect is shown for a high strength aluminum alloy in Fig. 15. In thicker sheet material, a larger plastic zone size and longer crack are required before the transition will occur to plane stress propagation.

This raises the question of priorities in design of laminate composites of this type. Should the sheet thickness be chosen to optimize fast fracture properties (K_C) or fatigue properties? Since the optimum sheet thickness for fatigue propagation resistance may be below the thickness required for maximum toughness, some trade-offs will be required. However, as discussed previously, there may not be a thickness where K_C is significantly higher than K_{IC} (in the divider orientation); in this instance, fatigue properties should be optimized by decreasing laminate thickness.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The effectiveness of a diffusion bonded laminate composite in improving fracture and fatigue characteristics of high strength titanium has been shown. In the arrester orientation delamination ahead of the crack tip leads to crack blunting both in fast fracture and fatigue. This delamination may be achieved with thin aluminum foils, diffusion bonded to the mill annealed titanium base laminates.

In the divider orientation, splitting of the interface causes the material to behave as the sum of many thin sheets. However, for high strength alloys such as the 6Al-4V titanium used in this work, splitting may not lead to significant increases in toughness, since the K_{IC} for the sheet material may not differ much from the plane strain toughness, K_{IC} .

In terms of resistance to fatigue crack propagation, the divider orientation has the same fatigue properties whether or not delamination occurs during fast fracture. It is believed that if fatigue occurs at higher stress intensity levels, or in a composite containing thinner base metal, a transition to plane stress propagation will occur which would lead to a decrease in da/dN at a given ΔK . Further work using larger specimens and billets fabricated with thinner base metal material should be studied to see if this expected improvement can be realized.

It has been found that a 0.001" commercially pure titanium foil is too thin to produce a weak interface, while the previous work⁽¹⁾ showed that a 0.005" CP titanium foil was too thick to give delamination and splitting. Problems with corrosion might probably develop if the aluminum foil is used as an interleaf, or when the material is joined using a braze

alloy. Corrosion would not be a problem if a CP titanium foil could be used. Therefore, further work using other CP foil thicknesses and strength levels should be conducted to determine the feasibility of using titanium foil as an interleaf material. Similarly, corrosion and corrosion fatigue tests should be conducted on the laminates bonded with aluminum foil.

The use of multi-laminate diffusion bonded composites containing weak interfaces has a great potential for practical applications. Further work must be done to study and improve the properties of such materials. Work to optimize the interleaf material should be conducted, especially the use of commercially pure titanium when used in conjunction with 6Al-4V titanium. More work on fatigue properties in both the arrester and divider orientation, particularly a study of the fatigue near stress concentrations, and a study of the corrosion characteristics must be conducted before these materials can be incorporated in actual components.

REFERENCES

1. Cox, D.O. and A.S. Tetelman, "Improved Fracture Toughness of Ti-6Al-4V through Controlled Diffusion Bonding," Technical Report AFML-TR-71-264, 1972.
2. Wilhem, D.P., "Fracture Mechanics Guidelines for Aircraft Structural Applications," Technical Report AFFDL-TR-69-111, 1970.
3. Hahn, G.T. and A.R. Rosenfield, Applications Related Phenomena in Titanium Alloys, ASTM STP 432, p. 5.
4. Brown, W.F. and J.E. Srawley, Plain Strain Crack Toughness Testing of High Strength Metallic Materials, ASTM STP 410, 1966, p. 13.
5. Freed, C.N., A.M. Sullivan, and J. Stoop, "Effect of Sheet Thickness on the Fracture-Resistance K_{IC} Parameter for Titanium Alloys," NRL Report No. 7464, 1972.
6. Sullivan, A.M. and J. Stoop, "Effect of Sheet Thickness on the Fracture-Resistance Parameter K_{IC} for Steel," NRL Report No. 7601, 1973.
7. Broek, D., "Fail-safe Design Procedures," National Aerospace Laboratory, The Netherlands, NLR MP 72021 U, 1972.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX I

TABLES

Table I--Physical properties of 0.100 inch Ti-6Al-4V and 0.001 inch CP titanium foil used in the study.

MATERIAL (HEAT)	YIELD STRENGTH (ksi)	TENSILE STRENGTH (ksi)	ELONGATION IN 2" (%)
Ti-6Al-4V (1)	133	143	15
Ti-6Al-4V (2)	129.3	136	15
CP Ti	62.9	72.5	23

Table II--Chemical analysis of 0.100 inch Ti-6Al-4V and 0.001 inch CP titanium foil used in the study.

MATERIAL (HEAT)	C	Fe	Al	V	N ₂	O ₂	H ₂
Ti-6Al-4V (1)	.023	.09	5.8	4.0	.010	.13	.006
Ti-6Al-4V (2)	.023	.09	5.9	3.9	.010	.11	.004
CP Ti	.023	.12	--	--	.013	.12	.002

Table III--Diffusion bonding parameters of the billets

BILLET No.	INTERLEAF MATERIAL	Time (Hr.)	TEMPERATURE (°F)	PRESSURE (psi)
A	0.0015" Al foil	3	1000	6000
B	0.0010" CP Ti foil	5	1700	2000
1	None (full strength)	5	1700	2000

Table IV--Fracture toughness results from precracked Charpy and larger three-point bend specimens.

BILLET No.	ORIENTATION	FRACTURE TOUGHNESS $\text{ksi} \sqrt{\text{in.}}$	
		CHARPY	LARGE THREE-POINT BEND
A	arrester	arrest	--
	divider	62	74.7
B	arrester	86.2	--
	divider	80.6	89.6
1	arrester	76.4	--
	divider	82.5	98

Table V--Fatigue life of precracked large three-point bend specimens

BILLET No.	INTERLEAF MATERIAL	PRECRACK LENGTH (in.)	INITIAL STRESS INTENSITY $\text{Ksi} \sqrt{\text{in.}}$	CYCLES TO FAILURES $R \approx 0 P_{\text{max}} = 1400 \text{ lbs}$	SPLITTING
A	0.0015" Al foil	0.063	12.7	77,500	yes
B	0.0010" CP Ti foil	0.085	13.8	97,800	no
1	none (full strength)	0.040	11.5	76,000	no

Table VI--Crack growth rate vs. ΔK for material with the divider orientation.

BILLET NO. 1	
K ksi $\sqrt{\text{in.}}$	da/dn in.
12.8	3.12×10^{-6}
16.2	7.00×10^{-6}
16.8	7.73×10^{-6}
19.9	9.1×10^{-6}
21.8	7.0×10^{-6}

BILLET A	
K ksi $\sqrt{\text{in.}}$	da/dn in.
7.3	7.82×10^{-6}
7.3	2.06×10^{-6}
9.2	1.74×10^{-5}
11.1	1.42×10^{-5}
14.5	1.56×10^{-7}
14.5	6.23×10^{-7}
14.8	5.5×10^{-6}
16.2	6.7×10^{-6}
16.8	2.63×10^{-7}
18.1	8.5×10^{-6}
18.8	5.5×10^{-5}

BILLET B	
K ksi $\sqrt{\text{in.}}$	da/dn in.
12	1.43×10^{-6}
12.1	1.4×10^{-6}
13	3.8×10^{-6}
13	9.5×10^{-7}
13.7	5.4×10^{-7}
13.7	4.38×10^{-6}
13.7	4.45×10^{-6}
13.9	2.82×10^{-6}
14	5.3×10^{-6}
16.7	8×10^{-6}
18	8.7×10^{-6}
19.2	1.14×10^{-5}
20.6	2.4×10^{-5}

APPENDIX II

FIGURES




Figure 1. Possible Orientation of the Interface in Relation to the Propagating Crack:
(a) Crack Arrester, (b) Crack Divider, (c) Crack Enhancer







Fig. 2 K_C vs. thickness for 6Al-4V titanium material [see Ref. (1)]



(a)



(b)



(c)

Fig. 3 Typical microstructure of the billets tested in this work:
 (a) Billet A (250X); (b) Billet B (250X); (c) Billet #1
 from the previous study (100X).⁽¹⁾




Fig. 4 Charpy specimen used for fracture testing






Fig. 5 Larger three-point bend specimen used in the fracture studies.




(a)




(b)

Fig. 6 Fracture appearance in arrester orientation (a) and divider orientation (b) of Billet A.



(a)



(b)

Fig. 7 Fracture appearance in arrester orientation (a) and divider orientation (b) of Billet B.




Fig. 8 Crack arrest during fatigue ($K_{\max} = 11.4 \text{ Ksi} \sqrt{\text{in.}}$) in the arrester orientation of Billet A (80X).




Fig. 9 Crack growth rate vs. ΔK for divider orientation of the three billets.






Fig. 10 Crack growth rate vs. ΔK for various specimens of Billet A (divider orientation).




(a)



(b)

Fig. 11 Fatigue in divider orientation of Billet A (a), Billet B (b), Billet #1 (c). The specimens shown were used for the data of Table VI.



(c)

Fig.11 Continued....




Fig. 12 Fatigue specimen from Billet A showing evidence of crack channeling.




Fig. 13 Influence of panel thickness on K_c for Ti-6Al-4V specimens. Data from Ref. (5).




Fig. 14 Thumbnail crack specimen tested at AFML showing crack arrest and further crack propagation along the inter-leaf.




Fig. 15 Schematic showing the transition of a fatigue crack in sheet material.





Fig. 16 The influence of sheet thickness on fatigue crack propagation in 2024-T3 alclad material. Data from Ref. (7).

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Failure Analysis Associates Post Office Box 4348 Stanford, California 94305		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
		2b. GROUP	
3. REPORT TITLE Fracture Toughness and Fatigue Properties of Titanium Laminate Composites Produced by Controlled Diffusion Bonding			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Final Report, June 1972 through October 1973			
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name) Donald O. Cox and Alan S. Tetelman			
6. REPORT DATE November 1973		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 36	7b. NO. OF REFS 7
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. USAF F-33615-72-C-2096		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
b. PROJECT NO. 7381			
c. Task No. 738106		9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report) AFML-TR-73-288	
d.			
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Air Force Materials Laboratory Air Force Systems Command Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio	
13. ABSTRACT <p>An investigation of the use of laminate composites containing a weak interface to increase the fracture toughness of high strength titanium alloy has been conducted. Two billets were fabricated from 0.010" Ti-6Al-4V material using a diffusion bonding process. Thin metal foils were used as interleaf materials. Results indicate that the use of a 0.0015" Al foil as an interleaf leads to delamination ahead of the crack tip in the arrester orientation, and effectively blunts the crack.</p> <p>In the divider orientation, splitting of the Al interleaf and plane stress fracture did not lead to the increase in toughness expected over thicker material. However, tests indicate that the base metal used in fabrication has a low K_{IC} value, which may account for this effect.</p> <p>It was found that a 0.001" commercially pure titanium foil used as an interleaf will not lead to delamination and splitting. Diffusion during the bonding process causes formation of a uniform material with no weak interface. Therefore, no delamination or splitting could occur in the composite material.</p> <p>It was also found that a propagating fatigue crack can be arrested at the interface in the arrester orientation. It was also determined that the crack growth rates of material which splits in the divider orientation are similar to rates obtained from full strength material.</p>			

14.

KEY WORDS

LINK A

LINK B

LINK C

ROLE

WT

ROLE

WT

ROLE

WT

Titanium Alloy Ti-6Al-4V

Laminate Composite

Diffusion Bond

Fracture Toughness

Fatigue